## STATE OF MICHIGAN

## COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of JONATHAN SCHERER, Minor.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

 $\mathbf{v}$ 

ASHANTI GUY,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

STEPHANIE SCHERER,

Respondent.

Before: Kelly, P.J., and Neff and Smolenski, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals by delayed leave granted from a family court order terminating his parental rights to the minor child pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii), (g) and (h); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii), (g) and (h). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(a)(ii); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(a)(ii). MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Further, respondent failed to show that termination of his parental rights was clearly not in the child's best interests. MCL

UNPUBLISHED May 11, 1999

No. 212844 Calhoun Circuit Court Family Division LC No. 96-000773 NA 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); In re Hall-Smith, supra.

Affirmed.

/s/ Michael J. Kelly /s/ Janet T. Neff /s/ Michael R. Smolenski

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We will not address respondent's contention that the family court erred in terminating his parental rights pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(g) and (h); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(g) and (h) because only one statutory ground is necessary to terminate parental rights. *In re Jackson*, 199 Mich App 22, 25; 501 NW2d 182 (1993).